



3

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

Date: July 21, 1962 Time: 8:30 P.M. Place: Hotel Eichemond

Participants:

United States

The Secretary of State Mr. Kohler

Mr. Harriman Mr. Hillenbrand

Germany

Foreign Minister Schroeder Dr.Carstens

Mr. Krapf

Mr. Reinkemeyer

United States

Lord Home, Pereign Secretary Sir Evelyn Shuckburgh

Mr. Semuel Mr. Tomkins

Prance

Foreign Minister Couve de Murvill

M. Baradus M. Roux M. Durand

<u>Subject:</u>

ARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR

() DECLASSIFY in PART

FOL EUG ---

() CLASSIFY L. O. () S. O. () C. OADR

TS authority to:

26,1991

Berlin

Copies to:

SC CE Au Au Au

80V CBCR-2

Amenbassy BORE Amenbassy PARIS/USBO Amenbassy MOSCOW US Mission Berlin

Couve, who had seen Gromyke for more than an hour before the Secretary, began by reporting his impressions. After a discussion of Landichiah he described as not important, Couve said Gromyke raised, a subject of Germany and Berlin. He attacked France for the eventures of her relations with the Federal Republic, harking beat to the Franco-Bussian Alliance after World War I. Couve said he respensed by pointing out that this was not a useful segment of peat history, which also included 1938-1939. Franch national interests in good relations with the Federal Republic were not

contrasy





110.11.80/1910

762.0

contrary to Soviet national debeyoets, emp France did not object to good Soviet relations with the SURE: On Berlin, Growto made his usual speed about drawing a line under World War II and threatened, if agreement were not reached, that the Soviets would proceed with their peace treaty. In response to a query as to what would follow the peace treaty. In response to a query as to what would follow the peace treaty, Growto merely said that the Soviets would sign the treaty and the Western Powers would be responsible thereafter. Cowe said he had responded that the Soviets would be responsible for the consequences and had advised against their taking serious risks on behalf of the national interests of the GDR. This could lead to a serious situation. There was no real discussion of this point, he added, and the meeting terminated with a brief further explanage on Loos.

The Secretary and Mr. Kohler than reported at length on the meeting with Gromyto which had taken place later in the day (Mr. Kohler spoke from his notes which are entirely consistent with the mesorandum of conversation prepared by Mr. Akalovsky on the conversation with Gromyko). In the subsequent discussion the Secretary stressed we should take note of the fact that the Soviets now seem more insistent on signing a peace treaty; The Western Powers should accordingly review their contingency planning for this eventuality in the light of recent developments. He wondered whe ther the time would not soon come when we should say to the Soviets that their signing of a peace treaty cannot involve a number of specific points which would add up to an attempt unilaterally to dispose of Western rights in Berlin. The other Foreign Ministers agreed that the suggested review of centingancy planning would be appropriate.

Home wondered whether, in hinting points to the Sowiets sufficient to cover their retrest, we had really given them enough to do this. The Western Powers were not, of course, certain that the Soviets were interested in this. The Secretary commented that the short "principles paper" he had given Gromyko during the previous Geneva talks had provided such an opportunity for the Soviets if they had wanted to utilize it. Norecover, if it would help to have a UN High Commissioner for Berlin as a whole whe would not interfere with our responsibilities in West Berlin, this could be discussed. The Soviets had also showed no interest in pursuing this line. They seemed interested only in drawing the West out of Berlin.

In response to Couve's query as to whether the Soviets had ever shown any interest in taking up points in the U.S. "principles paper", the Secretary answered in the negative and indicated that Groupes had instead complained that they had received no reply to

SECRET

their proposals

SECRET

. .

their proposals of severe. The Seiretary had made clear that, cines these being connected with the restoral of Western forces from Berlin, there was no point in pursuing the subject. If Western force removal could be left aside, we could then talk further about a Four-fower body for access, adding certain control functions to arbitration and climinating any wate. As far as the Soviet claim of respect for GDR sovereignty was concerned, the Secretary noted that the Soviets had never responded to the suggestion that with respect to access we were not trying to interfere with GDR sovereignty but merely requiring that the GDR not interfere with our access.

Lord Home said he felt the line which the Secretary had been taking Ashetly right under the circumstances. However, he noted, we had never specifically told the Soviets that, if they accepted Western troops in Berlin and continuation of the occupation, there would be a number of other points on which they sould count, for example, having permanent Soviet troops stationed at the War Hemorial in West Berlin and having the Western Powers calling their troops "Police Forces". This might help to save Soviet face.

The Secretary noted that rumors were circulating around Geneva that Gramyko would bring forward some new proposals on Tassday. The Secretary's present intention was still to leave Geneva on Wednesday to keep his Washington appointments.

Home asked whether the Secretary had received the impression that, if we reiterated the points in the U.S. "principles paper", this would save Grenyko's face. The Secretary said this did not appear in snything said teday. His impression was that the Sowiets were trying to get the highest price on the troop issue by threatening to sign a peece treaty. They might be trying to find out if they could get anything from us by further negotiations. If they ecceluded in the negative, a number of alternatives were open to them. We were not sure the Soviets had made a final choice between these. The Secretary then mentioned various possibilities in exmestion with the peace treaty ranging from a Bolz-Zordn type of thinky to the most redical devolution of power to the GDR over Alliet access. We had tried to make every effort to clarify that, whether the theory and the tried to make every effort to clarify that, whether the peace treaty and the counts is that we are in West Berlin by right and are not going to be pushed out. This is a fact the Soviets must live with. If they start with this, other things can be worked out. If not, there will be trouble.

Home observed



__

Home observed hint, if the Swider were to drop their demands, they would require some free-civing in order to involve their legiting the attention lie, for example, having a contingent of tweeps in West Berlin. The Secretary said our "principles speet" was intended to get into discussions over time. The Seviets sould, of course, say to us privately that they would sign their peace treaty but we would not need to subtlike. We did not have the impression they are now prepared to tell us that our proposed modus vivendi is shat they are looking for.

The Secretary and Home noted there were no signs of Soviet military or diplomatic activity indicating they were nowing towards an early peace treaty. However, the Secretary added, they might sign an attenuated peace treaty, but we felt it unlikely that they would commit themselves completely to Ulbricht. Couve noted that Group's had not said what the results of signing a peace treaty would be. The Secretary commented that Group's did not want to indicate that meanly at this point. It might be worth trying to find out more as to what respect for the severalgety of the GE involved. Couve said this in part meanly giving the GES enterland. The Secretary recalled an early Thompson-Group's embrage in which the latter had responded to a statement; that we cannot recognise the GER by saying that we already did. However, he had, not returned to this frequentation. The Secretary also noted that the Seviete in general have used more never language on Earlie with other people than with we directly. In fact, Group's had been relatively mild until he pulled out a paper and read prepared requires.

The Secretary responded in negative to Rome's query as to thether there had been say hints of a Seviet desire for a sensit meeting. However, the Secretary said, he had the impression droughe had come to teneve in the hope of working out a general agreement which could then be signed at the sensit. In had the impression the Seviets were looking favourd to an eventual sensit.

The discussion concluded with consideration of guidance to proce efficient. There was agreement that the Vertern comment should be retrained and limited to streaming that the meeting telay was part of a continuing process of discussion.

UMML: NJE111 coherend: all 7/24/62

